

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the Advisory Action dated September 8, 2006. A Request for Examination is filed herewith.

#### **Amendment**

The Table on page 1 of the specification is amended to replace US Application numbers with corresponding US granted Patent numbers. The Applicant submits that no new matter is introduced.

#### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103**

Claim 1 has been amended to specify that the coded data contained within each tag also identifies a relative orientation of the tag.

Claim 5 has been amended to specify that the indicating data received by the computer system further comprises data regarding a relative orientation of sensed tag due to a yaw of the sensing device.

Basis for these amendments can be found at page 17, lines 11-13 of the specification.

Having regard to both Dougherty and Dymetman, it is submitted that neither of these documents describes tags containing coded data which identifies a relative orientation of the tag. Moreover, none of these documents suggests how a yaw of the sensing can be determined (claim 5). Typically, a yaw would be determined using gyroscopic or other similar techniques. There is nothing in the prior art teaching the skilled person to encode coded tags with orientation information, in addition to document ID and position ID information.

Accordingly, for at least these reasons, it is submitted that the present invention is not obvious in view of the combination of Dougherty and Dymetman.

It is submitted that all the Examiner's objections have been traversed. Reconsideration and allowance of this application is respectfully solicited.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:



---

Kia Silverbrook



---

Paul Lapstun

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd  
393 Darling Street  
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: [kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com)

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762